

App. No.: 10/709971
Filed: 6/10/2004
Conf. No.: 3970

Page 5 of 5


REMARKS

In light of the Examiner's well taken objections to certain of the claims, those claims have been amended to correct the noted errors, several of which occurred by incorrect dependency. If the Examiner feels that other errors are present, he is solicited to call the undersigned as it is believed that any additional errors of this type can be corrected orally.

As to the art rejection based upon Egawa et al alone or in combination, it is most respectfully submitted that this reference fails to teach an important feature of the invention. That is the direction of current flow through adjacently wound coils of each phase. Contrary to the Examiner's allegation this is not true in the reference. If the Examiner feels otherwise he is requested to supply a marked up copy of the reference showing this current flow in the "same circuit".

In view of the foregoing it is submitted that the case is in condition for favorable action and such is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted:



Ernest A. Beutler
Reg. No. 19901

Phone (949) 721-1182
Pacific Time